





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/708,605 03/15/2004		Gregory Kaufman	KAUI	2604	
23699	7590 03/09/2005		EXAMINER		
CLAUSEN MILLER, P.C			CHIN, PAUL T		
SUITE 1600 10S. LASALL	E STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603			3652		
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
4		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office	ffice Action Summary		05	KAUFMAN ET AL.				
Office	Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
=:	NA DATE COL	PAUL T. C		3652				
The MAILI Period for Reply	NG DATE of this communication a	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive	e to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2	<u> 204</u> .					
2a) This action								
3) Since this a								
closed in a	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Clair	ns							
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☐ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _								
Application Papers								
10) The drawing Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Exami g(s) filed on is/are: a) ac ay not request that any objection to the at drawing sheet(s) including the corre- declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) b ection is requir	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` '			
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	on's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	98)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/708,605

Art Unit: 3652

1. It is pointed out that applicant provides claims 1-10 and adds a new claim 11. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1) the species of Figs. 1-5

(including parallel side bars (38,38), a rigid horizontal member (32), a second horizontal member (36), two diagonal stouts (34,34), and a central elongated stem (28)) and 2) the species of Fig. 6

(including a U-shaped fork (47), an optional cross bar (52), and a central elongated stem (44)).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if

Application/Control Number: 10/708,605

Art Unit: 3652

the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 2. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner